

### Outstanding Minutes from the Berlin OMG 2010.

Action number	Agenda item	Action	Owner
5.2	<b>2. Minutes &amp; Actions from previous meeting</b>	Action 4.15: Roberto Page was to draft new success criterion addressing user needs. No information had been received. <b>ACTION REMAINS</b>	RP
5.3		Action 4.19: Provide GeoSciML training materials to OneGeology. SR AGREED to compile the 'blog' information into a document that can be added to the OneGeology website.	SR
5.5		GA asked for a diagram of the portal structure, FR said he would ask Agnes to update it and forward.	FR
5.9	<b>9. Linkages with YES Network</b>	RT suggested a competition to help us define and establish that what we are doing is useful/needed. He agreed to look into options for funding this.	RT
5.10		It was agreed to move forward with AT's 'conceptual' suggestions by converting them into actions and assigning an owner to those actions. KB will draft a document and circulate for comment.	KB
5.18	<b>12. Recruiting new nations and increasing data services</b>	All agreed to attempt to secure one new country to participate and one participating country to serve data.	All
5.19		Australian off-shore territories had been substantially extended recently and he would look into whether any data is available.	OR
5.20		All agreed to look into and use contacts where possible and start to work towards having data as a showcase for the 34 <sup>th</sup> IGC.	All
5.21	<b>13. Involving the marine domain</b>	RT suggested that the YES Network (and other users) should be asked for an indication of which of these ideas and suggestions for further development are seen as the most important/wanted. All agreed. KB will collate and send information to AT. <b>KL to follow up</b>	KL
5.22		AT will circulate the information provided by the above action and acquire feedback from the YES Network.	AT
5.23	<b>14. Developing the functionality of OneGeology</b>	It was agreed that the Technical Working Group (TWG) would run and be responsible for a test-bed portal in preparation for a showcase demo of possible extended data/functionality at the 34 <sup>th</sup> IGC. HT was asked to outline all his ideas and suggestions in an email to all.	HT
5.24		FR agreed to put the information together and take to the TWG.	FR
5.26		Criteria 9: number of 3 <sup>rd</sup> parties integrating OneGeology services on their website or services. We don't necessarily know who is using the data unless they tell us. It was agreed that the options on how to monitor usage/downloads or set up a user licence agreement, as is the case for OneGeology-Europe participants, should be looked into further.	FR, IJ, RT
5.27	<b>15. Success Criteria Review</b>	All were asked to look at the current criteria and suggest updates and/or new criteria with a view to being achieved by the IGC (August 2012).	All
5.28		HT and KA agreed to be involved on the IGC Preparation Committee with the OneGeology secretariat.	HT, KA
5.29		OR agreed to nominate someone from GA to assist also	OR
5.30	<b>16. Plans for the IGC34 in 2012</b>	The OMG are requested to <b>SUGGEST</b> ideas for new content and platforms and to send new ideas for the newsletter and/or articles to KB.	All
5.31		The OMG are requested to forward information of any relevant forthcoming events and opportunities to the OneGeology secretariat.	All

### Actions from the Edinburgh OMG 2011.

Action number	Agenda item	Action	Owner
6.1	<b>3. Operational &amp; Technical progress report and status</b>	JP will speak with Agnes Tellez-Arenes (ATA) to discuss a solution to providing the Russian data service	JP
6.2		The transferral of 1G-E functionality to the 1G-global portal will be discussed at the TWG meeting	1G TWG
6.3	<b>5. Incorporation of OneGeology</b>	FR and IJ AGREED to stipulate the current functions and the resources required to run the OneGeology project	IJ, FR
6.4	<b>6. OneGeology data provider accreditation scheme</b>	Implement the OneG accreditation system in July 2011	KL
6.5	<b>9. Developing OneGeology</b>	KA to forward the 27 rock type legend to the OneG secretariat	KA
6.6		BS AGREED to ask the IGC organisers to request that a spatial reference is included on all the submitted abstracts. These will then be shown (and linked) in the OneG portal.	BS
6.7		All were asked to forward any additional suggestions/ideas for developing OneG to the secretariat.	All
6.8		JB and FR will provide further information for the top 5 prioritised ideas and detailing the proposed idea/objective, and the estimated time it will take to achieve. A draft will be circulated for comment	JB, FR
6.9	<b>11. Recruiting new nations and increasing data services</b>	China appears to be developing its own portal. OneGeology may propose a reciprocity agreement. China may also have concerns that OneGeology is somehow in conflict with CGMW. IJ to talk to Manuel Pubellier (CGMW) and a Chinese contact (Wang Yun).	IJ
6.10		China: KA will also discuss issues during a coming BGR visit to China	KA
6.11		India: IJ to pursue communications with Haj Gupta at the IGC or earlier.	IJ
6.12		LD has contacts in Morocco and will approach them	LD
6.13		TD indicated that he can approach Iceland directly or through a contact.	TD
6.14		LD: Indicated he can approach Montenegro through a contact	LD
6.15		Poland indicated it can contact the Ukraine and encourage it to join.	AP
6.16		LD indicated to be available for a joint action with IJ to contact European countries who are not already members.	LD, IJ
6.17		BS agreed to contract non-member Australian states	BS
6.18		IJ agreed to contact IGC organizers re. the impact of blank areas on the OneGeology Portal.	IJ
6.19		BS agreed to contact New Guinea re. OneGeology membership	BS
6.20		LA will encourage participation from the individual US States through the American Association of State Geologists (AASG). The GSA meeting Oct will also provide an opportunity.	LA
6.21		GA will encourage South American countries to join. Gabriel noted that there were meetings coming up where he could promote OneGeology.	GA
6.22	<b>12. Involving the marine domain</b>	It was noted that GeoScience Australia (GA) has a large amount of off-shore geological data that could be served. OneG secretariat will contact GA (Ollie Raymond) requesting provision of marine data.	KL
6.23		It was also noted that the EMODNET project should also feed into OneGeology. KA agreed to contact Helen Glaves (EMODNET coordinator) to enquire about marine data being available on the OneGeology global portal.	KA
6.24	<b>13. Plans for the IGC34 in 2012</b>	The suggested ideas will be collated into a draft planning document. A potential press release will also be considered.	IJ, KL

6.25		BS will forward official requests to the convenors to actively request abstracts now.	<b>BS, All convenors</b>
6.26	<b>14. Communications: including ideas for next newsletter</b>	Ideas for the newsletter, topics for a 'showcase' or case study are welcome. Please send all ideas to the secretariat.	<b>All</b>
6.27		RT suggested that the winner of the competition (action on RT from meeting 5) to help us to define and establish that what we are doing is useful/needed, could be awarded at the IGC. A sponsor for the prize could be sought. A suggested competition was 'best application using the OneGeology infrastructure'. It was AGREED that RT, IJ, KB will expand this idea further	<b>RT, IJ, KL</b>
6.28	<b>15. Workshops, training and conferences</b>	JP will contact OS Geo proposing the idea to include OneG exemplar data on their open source DVD.	<b>JP</b>
6.29		The exemplar service is currently only available in MapServer format. BS and FR (via Agnes) said that they would provide a link to other open source versions.	<b>BS, FR (ATA).</b>
6.30	<b>16. A.O.B.</b>	FR AGREED to enquire/encourage Google to update their handling of services to the WMS1.3 version.	<b>FR</b>
6.31	<b>17. Date and location of next meeting</b>	The next meeting will be held in conjunction with the ICG in Brisbane, August 2012. We will try to arrange the meeting immediately before the conference if possible.	<b>KL</b>